

ECBC-TR-

**Domestic Preparedness: Sarin (GB) and Mustard (HD) Challenge
and Protection Factor Testing of Escape Hoods, Draeger DefendAir
and Fume Free Quick Mask 2000**

Lee Campbell

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE

Adam D. Seiple

ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE

June 2004

Research, Development and Engineering Command, AMSRD-ECB-RT, AMSRD-ECB-EN,
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5424

Disclaimer

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorizing documents.

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | | <i>Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188</i> |
| Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. | | | |
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank) | 2. REPORT DATE 2004 June | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final; 02 Jul – 02 Oct | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Domestic Preparedness: Sarin (GB) and Mustard (HD) Challenge and Protection Factor (PF) Testing of Escape Hoods, Draeger DefendAir and Fume Free Quick Mask 2000 | | | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS None |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) Lee E. Campbell and Adam D. Seiple | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) TECH DIR, ECBC, ATTN: AMSRD-ECB-ENE-M, APG, MD 21010-5424 TECH DIR, ECBC, ATTN: AMSRD-ECB-RT-AE, APG, MD 21010-5424 | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ECBC-TR- |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) TECH DIR, ECBC, ATTN: AMSRD-ECB-ENH, APG, MD 21010-5424 | | | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. | | | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Two escape hood designs (Draeger DefendAir and the Fume Free Quick Mask 2000) were tested to assess their capability to protect in a chemical warfare (CW) agent environment. A GB and HD vapor test was performed on both types of hoods and there was no breakthrough during the 65-minute tests. The hoods were also tested to assess their ability to protect the wearer from an aerosolized threat. Human test subjects donned the hoods and entered a corn oil aerosol chamber. The Draeger DefendAir achieved a 95.8% pass rate at a PF of 2,000 and the Fume Free Quick Mask 2000 achieved a 100% pass rate at a PF of 2,000. | | | |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS GB Sarin HD Mustard Corn oil Aerosol Respirator Cartridge/Canister Protection Factor (PF) Testing Sarin-Challenge Testing | | | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 22 |
| | | | 16. PRICE CODE |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL |

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18
298-102

Blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As part of the Domestic Preparedness Program, two escape hood designs were tested to assess their capability to protect in a chemical warfare (CW) agent environment. The two designs were the Draeger DefendAir and the Fume Free Quick Mask 2000. A GB and HD vapor test was performed on both types of hoods and there was no breakthrough during the 65-minute tests.

The hoods were also tested to assess their ability to protect the wearer from an aerosolized threat. Human test subjects donned the hoods and entered a corn oil aerosol chamber. The subjects then performed a series of exercises to stress the seals of the hoods. A continuous sample was pulled from the oronasal region and analyzed by a laser photometer to see if any corn oil aerosol had entered the hood. The Draeger DefendAir achieved a 95.8% pass rate at a PF of 2,000 and the Fume Free Quick Mask 2000 achieved a 100% pass rate at a PF of 2,000.

Blank

PREFACE

The work described in this report was authorized under the Expert Assistance (Equipment Test) Program for the U.S. Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center (ECBC) Homeland Defense Business Unit.

The use of either trade or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement of any commercial products. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

This report has been approved for public release. Registered users should request additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center; unregistered users should direct such requests to the National Technical Information Service.

Blank

CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 11 |
| 2.0 | OBJECTIVES | 11 |
| 3.0 | CHEMICAL AGENT TESTING | 12 |
| 3.1 | Chemical Test Equipment..... | 12 |
| 3.1.1 | Vapor Generator..... | 12 |
| 3.1.2 | Exposure Chamber..... | 12 |
| 3.1.3 | Breather Pump | 12 |
| 3.1.4 | Leak Detector, TDA-99M..... | 13 |
| 3.1.5 | MINICAMS, Miniature Continuous Air Monitoring System..... | 13 |
| 3.2 | Chemical Agent Test Methods..... | 13 |
| 3.2.1 | Vapor Tests | 13 |
| 4.0 | PROTECTION FACTOR (AEROSOL) TESTING | 14 |
| 4.1 | Protection Factor Testing Procedures | 14 |
| 4.2 | Protection Factor Data Analysis | 15 |
| 5.0 | RESULTS | 16 |
| 5.1 | Draeger DefendAir Escape Hood | 16 |
| 5.2 | Fume Free Quickmask 2000 | 17 |
| | APPENDIX A - PROTECTION FACTOR TEST ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA | 18 |
| | APPENDIX B - PROTECTION FACTOR TEST RAW DATA | 20 |

TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| 1 – GB Test Conditions..... | 14 |
| 2 – HD Test Conditions | 14 |
| 3 – Draeger DefendAir PF Results | 16 |
| 4 – Fume Free Quick Mask 2000 PF Results | 17 |
| Table A – 1. Draeger DefendAir Subjects | 19 |
| Table A – 2. Fume Free Quick Mask 2000 Subjects | 19 |
| Table B – 1. Draeger DefendAir Raw Data..... | 21 |
| Table B – 2. Fume Free Quick Mask 2000 Raw Data..... | 22 |

Blank

Domestic Preparedness: Sarin (GB) and Mustard (HD) Challenge and Protection Factor Testing of Escape Hoods, Draeger DefendAir and Fume Free Quick Mask 2000

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In 1996, Congress passed Public Law 104-201 (Defense Against Weapons of Mass Destruction Act of 1996), directing the Department of Defense (DoD) to assist other federal, state, and local agencies in enhancing preparedness for terrorist attacks using weapons of mass destruction, including nuclear, biological, and chemical (NBC) weapons. The DoD responded by establishing the Domestic Preparedness Program that same year. This program tasked the Edgewood Chemical Biological Center (ECBC) to perform chemical agent resistance testing of various types of protective respirators that might be used in response to a terrorist event.

Personnel who are present at the scene of a terrorist incident that involves NBC, and who are not among the responder personnel, can be expected to leave the scene if circumstances permit. In such situations, an escape safety filter hood should be donned to enable personnel to breathe clean air until they arrive at a safe location remote from the original incident site. A number of safety devices are commercially available that protect against smoke, toxic fumes, aerosols, carbon monoxide, and other gases and vapors that would be harmful if breathed in. Almost none of these devices have been tested against chemical agents to assess the degree of resistance to the agents. Consequently, two escape hood designs were tested to determine their resistance to chemical agents. The escape hoods tested were the Draeger DefendAir and Fume Free Quick2000. The units were purchased on the open market.

2.0 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this testing were to subject the escape hood to a high vapor challenge of GB (sarin, a nerve agent) and HD (mustard, a vesicant), and monitor the inside of the hood for presence of agent. Another objective was to determine a protection factor (PF), determined with human subjects and using the standard Army procedure. No U. S. Government regulatory agency has yet issued specifications for agent resistance of escape hoods, but NIOSH and DoD are actively pursuing such specifications. For the agent testing, the hood was mounted on a suitable test fixture operated by a breather pump. For this project, a minimum time of 15 minutes (30 minutes desirable) resistance to penetration by agents was used. These times have been used previously for testing escape masks. The total test time was set at 65 minutes.

3.0 CHEMICAL AGENT TESTING

Three escape hoods of each type were tested against each agent, GB and HD. For each test, the escape hood was mounted on a test fixture, called SMARTMAN (SiMulant Agent Resistant Test MANikin), which is a human head form and half-torso, with a movable face piece and an inflatable peripheral seal, that is installed in an exposure (test) chamber. The SMARTMAN is connected to a breather pump to simulate a person breathing inside the hood. Agent vapor was generated to a specified concentration in air, and the mixture was passed through the exposure chamber. The inside of the hood was monitored for presence of agent.

3.1 Chemical Test Equipment

3.1.1 Vapor Generator

GB and HD vapors were generated by using a syringe pump to inject liquid agent into a heated tee in the air dilution line. The rate of injection was such that the concentration of vapor was controlled to that specified in the test plan. The agent vaporized in the heated tee, was carried by the dilution air into the mixing chamber, thence into the exposure chamber. An Ambient Air Analyzer (MIRAN), Model 1A, was used to monitor the concentration in the test chamber during the test.

3.1.2 Exposure Chamber

The test chamber is a plexiglass box approximately 2 feet on each side, with a removable front panel and four legs on the bottom about 4 inches long, which allow air to flow under the chamber when it is located inside a fume hood. A SMARTMAN test fixture is attached to the floor of the chamber. The mouth orifice of the face piece is connected by a large tube to a breather pump; there are also two sampling tubes in the nose, one in the eye, and one in the forehead. All these tubes pass down through the interior of the head form, down through the floor of the chamber, and connect to remote detectors and the breather pump or other instruments, such as pressure gauges. Since agent-air mixture passes through the chamber during the test, the outlet ports on top of the chamber are covered by military M12A1 filters to scrub agent from the air passing through. Other ports in the chamber walls are used for introducing the agent challenge into the chamber, to attach pressure gauges, to introduce oil aerosol for preliminary leak testing of an installed respirator, or to monitor the agent concentration inside the chamber.

3.1.3 Breather Pump

The military Breather Pump E1R1 (Jaeco Fluid Systems, Exton, PA) was used to simulate breathing through the hoods. This is a reciprocating pump that produces a sinusoidal breathing pattern by means of a gearing system that incorporates a Scotch Yoke. The pump produces a smooth peak flow approximately pi times the minute

volume. The minute volume (liters pumped in one minute) and the number of strokes (breaths) per minute can be adjusted.

3.1.4 Leak Detector, TDA-99M

This leak detector is based on generating a polydispersed (<1 um diameter) aerosol of Emery 3004 oil. The aerosol is introduced to the air surrounding the escape hood and air samples are drawn from outside and inside the hood. The samples are passed to the detector, where a light scattering chamber detects aerosol particles and compares the concentration outside the hood to the concentration inside the hood. The readout is expressed as percentage.

3.1.5 MINICAMS, Miniature Continuous Air Monitoring System

The MINICAMS is a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame photometric detector and a preconcentrator tube. The preconcentrator tube is a small tube containing an adsorbent material to scrub out agent vapors contained in a sample of air drawn through it for a set period of time. The tube is then heated to desorb the agent and introduce it into the column and subsequently the detector. By preconcentrating the agent, the detection limit is lowered. The MINICAMS software calculates the amount of agent detected over the sampling period.

3.2 Chemical Agent Test Methods

3.2.1 Vapor Tests

Since it would be prohibitively expensive to test a statistically significant number of escape hoods, only three hoods of each type were tested against each agent.

3.2.1.1 GB Vapor Procedure

An escape hood was mounted on a SMARTMAN test fixture inside a clean exposure chamber, equipped with a breather pump. The pump was turned on, and the hood was checked for leakage, using aerosols generated by the TDA-99M Leak Tester. The leak test will give an indication of leak paths to the inside of the hood. Usually the hood is not tested with agents if the test results indicate leakage greater than 0.0001 %. The hood was then installed on a SMARTMAN inside an agent (GB) exposure chamber, where it was leak tested again, under the conditions shown in Table 1. Then GB vapor was generated as described above and passed into the test chamber, while the breather pump operated the escape hood. The inside of the hood was monitored by MINICAMS at the eye and nose sampling ports of the SMARTMAN. The test was terminated after 65 minutes or at the time the concentration of agent inside the hood became greater than 0.008 mg/m³, the concentration set as the breakthrough criterion for GB.

Table 1 – GB Test Conditions

| | |
|---|--------|
| Challenge Concentration, mg/m ³ | 1000 |
| Breakthrough concentration, mg/m ³ | 0.008 |
| Breathing rate, L/min | 40 ± 1 |
| Breathing rate, breaths/minute | 35 ± 2 |
| Total test time, minutes..... | 65 |
| Temperature, °C..... | 25 ± 3 |
| Relative Humidity, % | 50 ± 5 |

3.2.1.2 HD Vapor Procedure

An escape hood was mounted on a SMARTMAN test fixture and leak tested as described in paragraph 3.2.1.1. After the leak test, the hood was mounted on a SMARTMAN in an HD agent test chamber and leak tested as described in paragraph 3.2.1.1, except with HD agent, under the conditions shown in Table 2. The test was terminated after 65 minutes or at the time the concentration of agent inside the hood became greater than 0.003 mg/m³, the concentration set as the breakthrough criterion for HD.

Table 2 – HD Test Conditions

| | |
|---|----------|
| Challenge Concentration, mg/m ³ | 200 ± 10 |
| Breakthrough concentration, mg/m ³ | 0.003 |
| Breathing rate, L/min | 40 ± 1 |
| Breathing rate, breaths/minute | 35 ± 2 |
| Total test time, minutes..... | 65 |
| Temperature, °C..... | 25 ± 3 |
| Relative Humidity, % | 50 ± 5 |

4.0 PROTECTION FACTOR (AEROSOL) TESTING

A second test was performed to determine each hood's ability to protect the wearer from an aerosol threat. This test involved human test subjects donning the hood and entering a chamber filled with a challenge concentration of corn oil aerosol. This aerosol concentration is maintained between 20 and 40 mg/m³, and the particle size is maintained between 0.4 and 0.6 micron Mass Median Aerodynamic Diameter (MMAD). Those concentration and size ranges best simulate chemical and biological agent aerosols. While in the chamber the subjects perform exercises designed to stress the seals of the equipment. If the hood were to leak, the corn oil aerosol would enter the hood and be sampled by the laser photometers. The measure of the hood's performance for this test is the Protection Factor (PF).

4.1 Protection Factor Testing Procedures

The two types of hoods were tested on two different test days. Prior to each test day, the PF Test Facility personnel probed each hood so that a sample could be drawn from the oronasal region. The DefendAir had a nose cup, so a standard Army probe was inserted through the hood and into the nose cup. The Quick Mask 2000 hood has a mouth bit, so a small barb was attached to the filter component inlet to the hood so a sample could be drawn from the same air that would be breathed by the subject.

On each test day, 30 volunteers arrived at the PF Test Facility to participate in the test. Anthropometric measurements were taken from the volunteers including facial length and width and neck circumference. These measurements are shown in Appendix A. From these measurements, 12 subjects were chosen to participate in the test. Selecting the proper size was not a concern because only one size is manufactured for either hood type. The subjects then completed volunteer agreements after the PF Test Facility personnel explained the test procedure. The subjects then donned the hood in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions, with the help of the PF Test Facility personnel. Sampling lines were then attached to the probes in hoods. Once ready, the subjects were led into the chamber where they were attached to sampling tubes connected to laser photometers located outside of the chamber. The test was then started. The subjects performed the following eight one-minute exercises:

1. Normal breathing
2. Deep breathing
3. Head side to side
4. Head up and down
5. Recite the Rainbow passage
6. Jog in place
7. Reach for the floor and ceiling
8. Normal breathing

PF Test Facility personnel communicated each exercise to the subjects from outside the chamber. When the test was complete, the subjects disconnected their sampling tubes and exited the chamber. All 12 subjects performed a trial twice for a total of 24 data points for each type hood.

4.2 Protection Factor Data Analysis

Hood performance was quantified in terms of a Protection Factor (PF). Just before the test was started, the photometer took a challenge aerosol concentration reading. Throughout the test, sample air was drawn continuously from within the hood. The PF was calculated by determining the ratio of the challenge aerosol concentration to the in-hood aerosol concentration as quantified by integrating the curve of the voltage output from the photometer over a time interval (one minute per exercise). A PF was calculated for each individual exercise (PF_i):

$$PF_i = \frac{\text{Challenge Concentration}}{\text{In-ornasal Concentration}}$$

Each PF_i for that trial was then used to calculate an overall PF for a subject (PF_o) using the harmonic average as follows:

$$PF_o = n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{PF_i} \right)^{-1}$$

where n is the number of exercises. The PF_o is affected most by the smallest PF_i . Under the conditions of this test and the sensitivity of the photometer, the maximum PF that can be reported is 100,000. The data acquisition computer performed all calculations at the time of the test. Appendix B shows the PF_i and PF_o for each subject on each trial.

5.0 RESULTS

5.1 Draeger DefendAir Escape Hood

There was no breakthrough of either GB or HD on any of the escape hoods tested during the test period. Table 3 lists the results for the PF test. The first column represents the upper bound of a PF range, with the lower bound being the PF value in the row above it. The second column represents the number of trials that fell in that range. The third column shows the cumulative percentage of all the trials. The final column shows the passing percentage at each PF in the first column.

Table 3 – Draeger DefendAir PF Results

| PF | Frequency | Cumulative % | Pass % |
|---------|-----------|--------------|--------|
| 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 10 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 500 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 1,000 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 1,667 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 2,000 | 1 | 4.17 | 95.83 |
| 5,000 | 0 | 4.17 | 95.83 |
| 6,667 | 0 | 4.17 | 95.83 |
| 10,000 | 0 | 4.17 | 95.83 |
| 20,000 | 0 | 4.17 | 95.83 |
| 50,000 | 2 | 12.50 | 87.50 |
| 100,000 | 21 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | 24 | | |

At the time of testing, there were no standards governing escape hoods for CBRN environments. Since the testing was completed, NIOSH has developed standards that require a 95% pass rate at a PF of 2,000 in the oronasal region and 95% pass rate at a PF of 150 in the hood region. The DefendAir did meet the oronasal requirement by

achieving a 95.8% passing percentage at a PF of 2,000. The hood region was not sampled for this test.

5.2 Fume Free Quickmask 2000

There was no breakthrough of either GB or HD on any of the escape hoods tested during the test period. Table 4 lists the PF results for the Fume Free Quick Mask 2000.

Table 4 – Fume Free Quick Mask 2000 PF Results

| PF | Frequency | Cumulative % | Pass % |
|---------|-----------|--------------|--------|
| 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 10 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 500 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 1,000 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 1,667 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 2,000 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 5,000 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 6,667 | 1 | 4.17 | 95.83 |
| 10,000 | 0 | 4.17 | 95.83 |
| 20,000 | 10 | 45.83 | 54.17 |
| 50,000 | 8 | 79.17 | 20.83 |
| 100,000 | 5 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | 24 | | |

This hood also met the NIOSH oronasal requirement by achieving a 100% passing percentage. The hood region was not tested for the Quick Mask 2000.

APPENDIX A
PROTECTION FACTOR TEST
ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

Table A – 1. Draeger DefendAir Subjects

| Subject No. | Face Length, mm | Face Width, mm | Neck Circumference, mm |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 110 | 129 | 350 |
| 2 | 123 | 137 | 340 |
| 3 | 132 | 136 | 380 |
| 4 | 123 | 146 | 370 |
| 5 | 110 | 144 | 360 |
| 6 | 117 | 134 | 390 |
| 7 | 121 | 139 | 350 |
| 8 | 114 | 129 | 340 |
| 9 | 132 | 137 | 380 |
| 10 | 117 | 145 | 370 |
| 11 | 111 | 136 | 360 |
| 12 | 136 | 143 | 400 |

Table A – 2. Fume Free Quick Mask 2000 Subjects

| Subject No. | Face Length, mm | Face Width, mm | Neck Circumference, mm |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 119 | 138 | 410 |
| 2 | 123 | 138 | 390 |
| 3 | 124 | 136 | 370 |
| 4 | 119 | 142 | 370 |
| 5 | 121 | 142 | 370 |
| 6 | 121 | 147 | 415 |
| 7 | 124 | 136 | 380 |
| 8 | 125 | 142 | 400 |
| 9 | 103 | 126 | 310 |
| 10 | 119 | 122 | 340 |
| 11 | 105 | 129 | 310 |
| 12 | 119 | 139 | 380 |

APPENDIX B
PROTECTION FACTOR TEST
RAW DATA

Table B – 1. Draeger DefendAir Raw Data

| MASK | SUBJECT | TRIAL | PF _o | EXERCISE NUMBER | | | | | | | |
|------|---------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| G4 | 1 | 1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G4 | 1 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G4 | 2 | 1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G4 | 2 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G5 | 3 | 1 | 86,876.9 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 45,281.1 |
| G5 | 3 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G5 | 4 | 1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G5 | 4 | 2 | 44,740.6 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 9,192.5 | 99,758.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G6 | 5 | 1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G6 | 5 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G6 | 6 | 1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G6 | 6 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G1 | 7 | 1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G1 | 7 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G1 | 8 | 1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G1 | 8 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G2 | 9 | 1 | 32,159.4 | 53,488.4 | 54,613.4 | 8,454.9 | 28,103.8 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 37,070.0 | 91,556.3 |
| G2 | 9 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G2 | 10 | 1 | 1,728.4 | 991.0 | 3,588.5 | 413.2 | 1,956.3 | 11,699.8 | 10,473.4 | 11,582.9 | 7,034.5 |
| G2 | 10 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G3 | 11 | 1 | 98,277.8 | 87,704.7 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G3 | 11 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| G3 | 12 | 1 | 54,784.5 | 18,133.5 | 67,700.4 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 38,300.7 | 100,000.0 |
| G3 | 12 | 2 | 85,121.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 41,694.7 | 100,000.0 |

Table B – 2. Fume Free Quick Mask 2000 Raw Data

| MASK | SUBJECT | TRIAL | PF _o | EXERCISE NUMBER | | | | | | | |
|-------|---------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Q2-1 | 1 | 1 | 46,020.3 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 44,879.7 | 100,000.0 | 23,973.1 | 24,254.8 | 34,950.0 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-1 | 1 | 2 | 16,458.8 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 3,293.4 | 14,498.8 | 15,758.8 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-2 | 2 | 1 | 20,852.1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 3,430.6 | 41,541.4 | 69,272.5 | 73,229.2 |
| Q2-2 | 2 | 2 | 19,005.2 | 100,000.0 | 93,124.5 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 3,281.8 | 25,000.4 | 52,532.7 | 60,783.0 |
| Q2-3 | 3 | 1 | 42,272.2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 10,039.1 | 67,342.6 | 47,093.3 | 73,772.5 |
| Q2-3 | 3 | 2 | 35,732.1 | 54,054.7 | 49,451.2 | 51,178.0 | 25,233.4 | 16,054.1 | 49,291.0 | 38,496.9 | 57,328.0 |
| Q2-4 | 4 | 1 | 19,590.4 | 100,000.0 | 4,525.7 | 53,666.4 | 100,000.0 | 32,323.5 | 17,462.7 | 19,776.2 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-4 | 4 | 2 | 22,058.7 | 100,000.0 | 18,624.6 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 5,279.3 | 22,899.6 | 33,856.8 | 61,158.1 |
| Q2-5 | 5 | 1 | 6,586.6 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 1,042.8 | 5,839.2 | 29,118.0 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-5 | 5 | 2 | 10,456.1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 1,539.9 | 30,826.8 | 30,041.6 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-6 | 6 | 1 | 83,844.5 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 46,315.6 | 100,000.0 | 72,339.2 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-6 | 6 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-7 | 7 | 1 | 82,205.6 | 100,000.0 | 90,773.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 40,886.4 | 87,905.1 | 95,542.7 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-7 | 7 | 2 | 37,094.2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 7,141.5 | 100,000.0 | 63,938.1 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-8 | 8 | 1 | 22,108.9 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 89,835.6 | 42,927.3 | 10,170.6 | 8,600.6 | 22,516.6 | 20,655.9 |
| Q2-8 | 8 | 2 | 18,892.4 | 71,934.3 | 47,330.1 | 33,846.2 | 23,225.4 | 4,911.5 | 17,191.9 | 28,682.7 | 52,127.8 |
| Q2-9 | 9 | 1 | 13,748.2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 2,648.0 | 6,932.3 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-9 | 9 | 2 | 24,641.6 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 5,609.1 | 11,577.9 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-10 | 10 | 1 | 14,334.8 | 77,566.2 | 12,411.8 | 41,964.1 | 38,102.7 | 16,113.9 | 3,440.8 | 20,082.5 | 82,886.6 |
| Q2-10 | 10 | 2 | 14,831.2 | 74,870.9 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 2,884.3 | 10,962.1 | 30,538.2 | 39,412.8 |
| Q2-11 | 11 | 1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-11 | 11 | 2 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 |
| Q2-12 | 12 | 1 | 15,744.1 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 100,000.0 | 12,047.4 | 3,085.7 | 22,020.1 | 63,988.3 |
| Q2-12 | 12 | 2 | 12,409.1 | 30,948.8 | 25,993.2 | 36,014.4 | 30,912.8 | 3,385.6 | 9,370.8 | 14,345.7 | 23,807.5 |